Marica D. Prešić ON CERTAIN FORMULAS FOR EQUIVALENCE AND ORDER RELATIONS

(Communicated April 29, 1969)

Summary

In this paper we give formulas for obtaining all equivalence and order relations on a given set S. These formulas are

- For equivalence relations: $x \propto y \Leftrightarrow (\forall u)(u \pi_1 x \Leftrightarrow u \pi_1 y)$
- For order relations: $x \beta y \Leftrightarrow (\forall u) (((u \pi_2 x \Rightarrow x \pi_2 u) \Rightarrow u = x) \Rightarrow ((u \pi_2 y \Rightarrow y \pi_2 u) \Rightarrow u = y))$ $(\pi_1, \pi_2 \text{ are arbitrary relations on } S).$

1. Proposition 1. Let S be a nonempty set. By formula

(1)
$$x \propto y \Leftrightarrow (\forall u) (u \pi x \Leftrightarrow u \pi y)$$

 $(\pi$ -an arbitrary binary relation of S) are determined all equivalence relations of the set S.

Proof. At first, we prove that each relalation α defined by the formula (1) is an equivalence relation of the set S. The relation α is reflexive, symmetric and transitive because the formulas

$$(\forall u) (u \pi x \Leftrightarrow u \pi x)$$

$$(\forall u) (u \pi x \Leftrightarrow u \pi y) \Rightarrow (\forall u) (u \pi y \Leftrightarrow u \pi x)$$

$$((\forall u) (u \pi x \Leftrightarrow u \pi y) \land (\forall u) (u \pi y \Leftrightarrow u \pi z)) \Rightarrow (\forall u) (u \pi x \Leftrightarrow u \pi z)$$

are, obviously, valid (for each binary relation π of S).

We next prove if α is an equivalence relation of S, then α may be obtained by the formula (1) by means of some π . Precisely, we prove if α is an equivalence, then the formula

$$(2) x \alpha y \Leftrightarrow (\forall u) (u \alpha x \Leftrightarrow u \alpha y)$$

is valid. Namely, according to transitivity of α , the following formulas are true

$$u \alpha x \wedge x \alpha y \Rightarrow u \alpha y, \quad u \alpha y \wedge y \alpha x \Rightarrow u \alpha x.$$

Since α is symmetric, then applying the tautology $(p \land q \Rightarrow r) \Leftrightarrow (p \Rightarrow (q \Rightarrow r))$ the previous two formulas become

$$x \alpha y \Rightarrow (u \alpha x \Rightarrow u \alpha y), \quad x \alpha y \Rightarrow (u \alpha y \Rightarrow u \alpha x)$$

whence we obtain

$$x \alpha y \Rightarrow (u \alpha x \leftrightarrow u \alpha y)$$

(applying the tautology $(q \Rightarrow q) \land (p \Rightarrow q_1) \Rightarrow (p \Rightarrow q \land q_1)$).

At last, by the valid formula $(\forall u)(A \Rightarrow B) \Rightarrow (A \Rightarrow (\forall u)B)$ (u is not free in formula A), we obtain

(3)
$$x \propto y \Rightarrow (\forall u) (u \propto x \leftrightarrow u \propto y).$$

It remains to prove the following implication

$$(4) \qquad (\forall u) (u \alpha x \Leftrightarrow u \alpha y) \Rightarrow x \alpha y.$$

Suppose that the antecedent $(\forall u)(u \alpha x \Leftrightarrow u \alpha y)$ is true. Setting u=x, we obtain $x \alpha x \Leftrightarrow x \alpha y$. Since $x \alpha x$ is true, we conclude that $x \alpha y$ is true.

Consequently, the formula (4) is proved. By (3) and (4) follows the equivalence (2). This means that the equivalence relation α may be obtained from formula (1), on choosing $\pi = \alpha$.

The proof is finished.

Corollary. If π is a reflexive and antisymmetric relation, then by formula (1) is determined the relation "equality" in the set S.

Examples. The following formulas are valid

$$x = y \Leftrightarrow (\forall u) (u = x \Leftrightarrow u = y)$$

$$x = y \Leftrightarrow (\forall u) (u \subset x \Leftrightarrow u \subseteq y),$$

$$x = y \Leftrightarrow (\forall u) (x \subset u \Leftrightarrow y \subset u),$$

$$(x, y, u - \text{sets}),$$

$$x = y \Leftrightarrow (\forall u) (u \leqslant x \Leftrightarrow u \leqslant y),$$

$$x = y \Leftrightarrow (\forall u) (x \leqslant u \Leftrightarrow y \leqslant u)$$

$$(x, y, u - \text{real numbers }),$$

$$x = y \Leftrightarrow (\forall u) (u \mid x \Leftrightarrow u \mid y),$$

$$x = y \Leftrightarrow (\forall u) (u \mid x \Leftrightarrow u \mid y),$$

$$x = y \Leftrightarrow (\forall u) (x \mid u \Leftrightarrow y \mid u)$$

$$(x, y, u - \text{natural numbers}).$$

2. Proposition 2. All order relations of the set S are determined by the formula

(6)
$$x \propto y \Leftrightarrow (\forall u) (u \pi x \Rightarrow u \pi y)$$

where π is an arbitrary reflexive and antisymmetric relation of S.

Proof. Let α be a relation defined by (6), providing π is reflexive and antisymmetric. The relation α is reflexive and transitive because the formulas

$$(\forall u) (u \pi x \Rightarrow u \pi x)$$
$$(\forall u) (u \pi x \Rightarrow u \pi y) \land (\forall u) (u \pi y \Rightarrow u \pi z) \Rightarrow (\forall u) (u \pi x \Rightarrow u \pi z)$$

are valid.

To prove antisymmetry, i.e. the implication

$$(\forall u)(u \pi x \Rightarrow u \pi y) \land (\forall u)(u \pi y \Rightarrow u \pi x) \Rightarrow x = y$$

suppose that its antecedent is true. Then replacing u in the formulas

$$(\forall u) (u \pi x \Rightarrow u \pi y), (\forall u) (u \pi y \Rightarrow u \pi x)$$

respectively by x and y, we obtain $(x \pi x \Rightarrow x \pi y) \land (y \pi y \Rightarrow y \pi x)$.

Since π is symmetric the last formula becomes $x \pi y \wedge y \pi x$, whence we obtain x = y.

Let, now, α be an order relation of the set S. We prove that the equivalence

(7)
$$x \propto y \Leftrightarrow (\forall u) (u \propto x \Rightarrow u \propto y)$$

is true. Similarly as in the proposition 1, the formula

(8)
$$x \propto y \Rightarrow (\forall u) (u \propto x \Rightarrow u \propto y)$$

is true. It follows from transitivity of α .

To establish the inverse implication of (8) suppose that $(\forall u) (u \alpha x \Rightarrow u \alpha y)$ is true. Replacing u by x we conclude that $x \alpha y$ is true. The proof of formula (7) is finished. From formula (7) we conclude that α may be obtained by (6) setting α instead of π . The proposition 2 is proved.

We next determine formula of reflexive and antisymmetric relations.

Proposition 3. By the formula

(9)
$$x \propto y \Leftrightarrow ((x \pi y \Rightarrow y \pi x) \Rightarrow x = y)$$

 $(\pi - an \ arbitrary \ relation \ of \ S)$ are determined all reflexive and antisymmetric relations of the set S.

Proof. Obviously, a relation α defined by (9) is reflexive. To prove antisymmetry of α , suppose $x \alpha y \wedge y \alpha x$, i.e.

$$((x \pi y \Rightarrow y \pi x) \Rightarrow x = y) \land ((y \pi x \Rightarrow x \pi y) \Rightarrow y = x).$$

Hence it is easy to conclude that x = y.

Thus the formula (9) determines, for any relation π , reflexive and antisymmetric relation.

Let now α be a reflexive and antisymmetric relation of S. This relation may be obtained by formula (9) setting α instead of π , because the formula $x \alpha y \Leftrightarrow ((x \alpha y \Rightarrow y \alpha x) \Rightarrow x = y)$ is true.

The proof is finished.

Corollary. The formula

(10) $x \propto y \Leftrightarrow^{\text{def}} (\forall u) (((u \pi x \Rightarrow x \pi u) \Rightarrow u = x) \Rightarrow ((u \pi y \Rightarrow y \pi u) \Rightarrow u = y))$

 $(\pi$ — an arbitrary relation of S) defines all order relation of the set S.

Examples. By proposition 2 the following formulas are true:

$$x \subset y \Leftrightarrow (\forall u) (u \subset x \Rightarrow u \subset y)$$

$$x \subset y \Leftrightarrow (\forall u) (y \subset u \Rightarrow x \subset u)^{10}$$

$$(x, y, u - sets)$$

$$x \leqslant y \Leftrightarrow (\forall u) (u \leqslant x \Rightarrow u \leqslant y)$$

$$x \leqslant y \Leftrightarrow (\forall u) (y \leqslant u \Rightarrow x \leqslant u)$$

$$(x, y, u - real numbers)$$

$$x \mid y \Leftrightarrow (\forall u) (u \mid x \Rightarrow u \mid y)$$

$$x \mid y \Leftrightarrow (\forall u) (y \mid u \Rightarrow x \mid u)$$

$$(x, y, u - natural numbers)$$

¹⁾ It is easy to prove that all order relations are also determined by the formula: $def x \propto y \Leftrightarrow (\forall u)(y\pi u \Rightarrow x\pi u)$, where π is an arbitrary reflexive and antisymmetric relation.